

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,768	06/27/2003	Bryan J. Root	14451.01	14451.01 6436	
7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER			
Min (Amy) S. Xu			NGUYEN, TUNG X		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 South Sixth Street			2829		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,768	ROOT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung X. Nguyen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> .						
,—						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9730704. 00 6 3	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Costello (u.s.p 6,124,723).

As to claims 1, 11, Costello disclose in Fig. 2, a shield probe apparatus for testing a semiconductor device comprising: a shielded probe (90, 74, 76 of figure 2) for probing the semiconductor device (wafer or device under test is held on an upper surface of chuck 52, see the abstract); a tri-axial cable (74, 76, 90 of figure 2);

A shielded chassis (78, 80 of figure 2) with the articulated arm (72) of the probe manipulator by means of a collar (73) for holding the tri-axial cable; wherein the tri-axial cable and the shielded probe being configured and arranged to connect to each other within the shielded chassis; and wherein the tri-axial cable coupled to a tester for testing the device under test (wafer, see col. 1, lines 5-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/607,768

Art Unit: 2829

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-9, 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costello (u.s.p 6,124,723), in view of Schwidt (u.s.p 6,075,376).

As to claims 2, 12, Costello discloses all limitation except for a dielectric layer surrounded to the probe pin; and a conductive guard layer surrounded the dielectric layer. However, Schwindt discloses in Fig. 5, the shielded comprising: a dielectric layer (52a of figure 5) surrounded to core (50a) couple to the probe pin; and a conductive guard layer (54a, 56a of figure 5) surrounded the dielectric layer (52a of figure 5). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Costello, and provide the shielded probe, as taught by Schwindt for accurately measuring the data received from device under test at high frequency testing.

As to claims 3-4, 13-14, Schwindt discloses in Fig. 5, the tri-axial cable comprising: a center signal conductor (50a of figure 5); a dielectric layer (52a of figure 5) surrounded the center signal conductor; a conductive layer (54a, 56a of figure 5) surrounded the dielectric layer; a guard layer (68) surrounded the conductive layer; a second dielectric layer (66) surrounded by the guard layer (68); a shield surround the second dielectric layer (68); and protective cover (58a) surround the shield.

As to claims 5-6, 15-16, Costello discloses in Fig. 5, the probe pin (92 of figure 5) and the center signal conductor (90a) are electrically connected to each other.

As to claims 7, 17, Costello discloses in Fig. 5, a shrink tube (90b) to shrink-tube the probe pin and the center signal conductor.

As to claims 8, 18, Schwindt discloses in Fig. 5, the conductive guard layer (54a) of the shielded probe and the guard layer (68) of the tri-axial cable are electrically connected to each other.

As to claims 9, 19, Schwindt discloses in Fig. 5, a second shrink tube (58a) to shrink-tube the conductive guard and the guard layer.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 10, 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claims 10, 20, the prior art does not teach or suggest the guard layer of the tri-axial cable is driven to the same potential as the center signal conductor, and the shield of the tri-axial cable is grounded to the shielded chassis.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,768

Art Unit: 2829

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (571) 272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN 2/17/05 VINH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
A U-282 J

02/17/05